

## **Agenda**

- Mission
- COP Structure
- Trends and Current Initiatives
- · How You Can Get Involved
- Q&A



Connecting Pharmaceutical Knowledge

ISPE.org

#### **Mission**

- Provide a community and forum to discuss and implement best practices
- Forums
  - Community website
  - Blogs
  - PE Magazine
  - Good Practice Guide
  - Webinars
  - Presentations
  - T40 Course



Connecting Pharmaceutical Knowledge

ISPE.org

3

### **COP Structure**

- COP
- Steering Committee
- Paperless Sub-Committee



Connecting Pharmaceutical Knowledge

ISPE.org

### Survey

Adoption rate of ISPE Baseline Guide 5 C&Q approach

QRM based integrated C&Q

**Trends** 

Benchmarking



Connecting Pharmaceutical Knowledge

ISPE.org

5

#### **Areas of Focus**

Approach Used
Paperless Validation
Benchmarking
Drivers and Adoption
How does ISPE Improve?



Connecting Pharmaceutical Knowledge

ISPE.org

#### **Trends**

Lack of understanding of BG5 Fundamentals – GCEs

- V-Model
- Risk Assessments & methods
- Role of Quality
- CPP definition and flexibility product/process or just equipment

Definition of Risk Based Approach is variable

Definition of Hybrid Approach

Very little understanding of the cost of C&Q

SLIA and CCA still used

Lack of GEP Use – program established? Fundamental to Approach

Paperless is here and trend for rapid adoption



Connecting Pharmaceutical Knowledge

ISPE.org

7

### **Next Steps**

- 1. Need to define & measure cost of C&Q
- 2. Boundaries/definition for TIC
- 3. More application examples and education needed
- 4. What does RBA and Integrated approach mean to respondent?
- 5. Read the guide!



Connecting Pharmaceutical Knowledge

ISPE.org

#### **Current Initiatives**

- 1. More application examples and education needed
- 2. New Survey in 2024 better clarification of approaches
- 3. GAMP Alignment
- 4. PR, ECM and GEP Focus
- 5. Lack of Product/Process Definition and how to proceed
- 6. Upcoming education and guide on paperless tools and validation



Connecting Pharmaceutical Knowledge

ISPE.org

9

### **Benchmarking - Claimed % TIC**

|       |      | 36       | Robust measurement? |           |      |    |
|-------|------|----------|---------------------|-----------|------|----|
| Total |      | Yes %TIC |                     | no robust |      |    |
| 31%   | 3-5% | 11       | 4%                  | 1         | 3-5% | 10 |
| 19%   | 5-8% | 7        | 5-7%                | 1         | 5-8% | 6  |
| 17%   | 10%  | 6        | 7-12%               | 1         | 10%  | 4  |
| 14%   | 15%  | 5        | 10%                 | 1         | 15%  | 3  |
| 6%    | 20%  | 2        | 15%                 | 1         | 20%  | 1  |
| 6%    | 25%  | 2        | 20%                 | 1         | 25%  | 2  |
| 3%    | 30%  | 1        |                     |           | 30%  | 1  |
| 3%    | 40%  | 1        |                     |           | 40%  | 1  |
| 3%    | 50%  | 1        |                     |           | 50%  | 1  |



Connecting Pharmaceutical Knowledge

ISPE.org

#### What is included in TIC Analysis Vendor Test Activities (purchased IOQ) 18 System Risk Assessments 18 PQ 18 SAT 17 16 Lab Instrument and Systems Qualification **FAT** 15 15 **Utility URS** 15 Facility Equipment 14 14 Design Review Vendor/Supplier Qualification 13 **Engineering/Water Runs** 13 PPQ/PV 12 Media Fills 12 Automation Engineering and Implementation 12 Design Phase and Activities 9 ISPE. Connecting Pharmaceutical Knowledge ISPE.org

11



# **Clear Improvement Noted**

|  |           |          | 10-15% |          |
|--|-----------|----------|--------|----------|
| Deliverable or Task / TIC% and Total Respondents                     | 3-4% (11) | 5-8% (7) | (10)   | >16% (9) |
| Overall Process Risk Assessment                                      | 82%       | 71%      | 40%    | 44%      |
| CAs/CDEs as identified through SRA                                   | 55%       | 43%      | 40%    | 0%       |
| Design Review completed under GEPs                                   | 64%       | 71%      | 30%    | 33%      |
| Design Qualification completed                                       | 91%       | 57%      | 70%    | 44%      |
| DQ   | 55%       | 43%      | 40%    | 0%       |
| Quality pre/post approval of verification protocols (FAT/SAT/IOV/CX) | 18%       | 14%      | 50%    | 22%      |
| No pre/post approval of executable (GEP) verification documents      | 64%       | 29%      | 30%    | 56%      |
| GEP Oversees C&Q process w/QA auditing oversight                     | 36%       | 14%      | 30%    | 11%      |
| IOQ as summary report only   | 9%        | 29%      | 10%    | 11%      |



Connecting Pharmaceutical Knowledge

ISPE.org

13

# **No Change Noted**

|  | 3-4% (11) | 5-8% (7) | 10-15% (10) | >16% (9)         |
|--|-----------|----------|-------------|------------------|
| Commissioning activities leveraged as                | 0.40/     | 740/     | 700/        | 700/             |
| Qualification activities                             | 64%       | 71%      | 70%         | 78%              |
| Critical Components as determined through SLIA/CCA   | 45%       | 29%      | 40%         | <mark>67%</mark> |
| Quality pre/post approval of leveraged IOQ protocols | 27%       | 43%      | 70%         | 44%              |
| GEPs Established                                     | 36%       | 29%      | 20%         | 33%              |



Connecting Pharmaceutical Knowledge

ISPE.org

# Results of Implementation of RBA/QRM

| Years RBA in place | %TIC  | schedule<br>savings | What was TIC before RBA | Comment           |
|--------------------|-------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 5                  |       | 2-3 months          |                         |                   |
| 2.5                |       | 12%                 |                         |                   |
| 10                 | 5-7%  | 2-3 months          | 10-12%                  |                   |
| 5                  | 7-12% | 15%                 | 7-12%                   | no change in cost |
| 1                  | 20%   | 10%                 | 20%                     |                   |
| 2                  |       | 25%                 |                         |                   |
| 10+                | 10%   |                     | 15-20%                  |                   |



Connecting Pharmaceutical Knowledge

ISPE.org

15

